



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/028,958	12/19/2001	Hong Thi Nguyen	36968.262343 (BS01261)	5325

23552 7590 02/09/2006

MERCHANT & GOULD PC
P.O. BOX 2903
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903

EXAMINER

KNOWLIN, THJUAN P

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2642

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/028,958	Applicant(s) NGUYEN ET AL	
	Examiner Thjuan P. Knowlin	Art Unit 2642	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 November 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4,6-18,20 and 22-31 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4,6-18,20 and 22-31 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19 December 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed on November 21, 2005 has been entered. Claims 1 and 16 have been amended. Claims 3, 5, 19, and 21 have been cancelled. No claims have been added. Claims 1-2, 4, 6-18, 20, and 22-31 are still pending in this application, with claims 1 and 16 being independent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-2, 4, 6-18, 20, and 22-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larson et al (US 5,907,324), in view of Fitser et al (US 5,631,904), and further in view of Grunsted et al (US 6,192,123).

3. In regards to claims 1, 16, and 17, Larson discloses a method of automatically establishing a conference (See col. 2 lines 52-56 and col. 2-3 lines 63-4) comprising the steps of: receiving conference logistics; receiving participant profile data; allocating a conference bridge port in accordance with the conference logistics; dialing a telephone number in accordance with the conference logistics and the profile data; and connecting a communications switch port to the allocated conference bridge port (See col. 1-2 lines

58-15). Larson, however, does not disclose extracting a participant communication address in accordance with the conference logistics; determining if the participant profile data includes the participant communication address extracted from the conference logistics; if the participant profile data includes the participant communication address extracted from the conference logistics, then updating the extracted participant communication address extracted from the conference logistics with a current participant communication address from the participant profile data associated with the participant communication address. Grunsted, however, does disclose extracting a participant communication address in accordance with the conference logistics; determining if the participant profile data includes the participant communication address (e.g. number) extracted from the conference logistics; if the participant profile data includes the participant communication address extracted from the conference logistics, then updating the extracted participant communication address extracted from the conference logistics with a current participant communication address from the participant profile data associated with the participant communication address (See col. 6 lines 18-23 and col. 7 lines 12-21). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ this feature as a way of maintaining current addresses (e.g. numbers) for the conference participants. Larson, also, does not disclose playing an option to accept or refuse a connection to the conference. Fitser, however, does disclose playing an option to accept or refuse a connection to the conference (See col. 6-7 lines 66-12). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the

method with the feature of playing an option to accept or refuse a connection to the conference, as a way of providing to the called party, the choice of whether or not to join the conference. At the time of the invite to the conference, the called party may be busy or unable to join the conference for a number of reasons, and by being able to accept or refuse the connection, the called party is allowed to make that decision of whether or not he or she is able to participate in the conference.

4. In regards to claims 2, 10, 18, and 26, Larson discloses the method, wherein receiving the conference logistics further comprises receiving a start date and a connect time (See col. 7 lines 4-16).

5. In regards to claims 4, 6, and 20, Larson discloses the method, wherein allocating a bridge port is performed in accordance a number of conference participants in accordance with the conference logisitics (See col. 2 lines 4-19, col. 7 lines 4-16, and col. 12 lines 12-17).

6. In regards to claims 7 and 23, Larson discloses the method, wherein the participant profile data comprises at least two of the current participant communication address, a home address, an office address, and a wireless address (See col. 7 lines 37-42).

7. In regards to claims 8, 22, and 24, Larson discloses the method, wherein the communications switch port comprises a telephone switch port (See col. 1 lines 14-18 and col. 1 lines 28-33).

8. In regards to claims 9 and 25, Larson discloses the method, wherein the participant communication address and the current participant communication address each comprises a telephone number (See col. 2 lines 4-11 and col. 7 lines 37-49).

9. In regards to claims 11 and 27, Larson discloses the method, wherein the conference participant communication address comprises an Internet Protocol (IP) address (See col. 7 lines 37-42).

10. In regards to claims 12, 15, 28, and 31, Larson discloses all of claims 12, 15, 28, and 31 limitations except, the method, wherein receiving conference logistics comprises receiving subscriber input to a DTMF menu. Fitser, however does disclose the method, wherein receiving conference logistics comprises receiving subscriber input to a DTMF menu (See col. 3-4 lines 49-8, col. 4 lines 52-59, and col. 6-7 lines 66-12).

11. In regards to claims 13 and 29, Larson discloses the method, wherein receiving conference logistics, comprises receiving a formatted file, comprising labeled conference provisioning information (See col. 2 lines 41-62 and col. 6 lines 37-50).

12. In regards to claims 14 and 30, Larson discloses the method, wherein receiving conference logistics comprises receiving subscriber input to a form displayed on a client device (See col. Fig. 1, Fig. 3, col. 5 lines 4-29, and col. 5-6 lines 58-19).

Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments filed 11/21/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that neither Larson, Fitser, or Grunsted teach, suggest, or describe extracting a participant communication address in accordance with the

conference logistics; determining if the participant profile data includes the participant communication address extracted from the conference logistics; if the participant profile data includes the participant communication address extracted from the conference logistics, then updating the extracted participant communication address extracted from the conference logistics with a current participant communication address from the participant profile data associated with the participant communication address.

Examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument. Grunsted does teach, suggest, and describe extracting a participant communication address in accordance with the conference logistics; determining if the participant profile data includes the participant communication address (e.g. number) extracted from the conference logistics; if the participant profile data includes the participant communication address extracted from the conference logistics, then updating the extracted participant communication address extracted from the conference logistics with a current participant communication address from the participant profile data associated with the participant communication address (See col. 6 lines 18-23 and col. 7 lines 12-21). Applicants state that Grunsted fails to teach, suggest, or describe that after the selected conference call group is received from the customer, the telephone numbers for the parties in the phone book are updated with current telephone numbers for the parties. Grunsted, however, does teach, suggest, and describe these features (See col. 6 lines 18-23 and col. 7 lines 12-21).

Conclusion

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thjuan P. Knowlin whose telephone number is (571) 272-7486. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00pm.

15. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar can be reached on (571) 272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

16. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thjuan P. Knowlin

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Bing Q. Bui", with a long horizontal stroke extending to the left.

**BING Q. BUI
PRIMARY EXAMINER**